UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,466	10/09/2003	Pawan Chaturvedi	2374	9192	
²⁸⁰⁰⁵ SPRINT 6391 SPRINT I	7590 09/13/200	EXAMINER PHAN, TUANKHANH D			
KSOPHT0101-					
OVERLAND P	ARK, KS 66251-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		ı
1	η_N	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/682,466	CHATURVEDI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TuanKhanh Phan	2153	

,,	LXammer	Aironic				
	TuanKhanh Phan	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date						
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing d	ginally set in the final Off	ice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f. will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			00000			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	·	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Diagram Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompilant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	mowable if subtriffied in a separate	, timely med amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .	_					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b = 6 Ab d = A 6 6 B A - A	lakian af Ammaalill m				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
AL PHOTOLOGO						
		GLENTON B. BURGES				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100						
	π	CHNULUGY CENTER	Z 1 UU			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicant argues, "The prior art does not disclose when the conference server receives the cancellation message from the originating station, the conference server (i) will complete setup of a conference leg with the terminating station, and (ii) will then send a teardown message to the reminating station to tear down the conference leg with the terminating station."

Examiner notes: Casaccia clearly discloses, when the confercence server receives the cancellation message from the first station (at least col. 15 lines 12-14; col. 2 lines 64-66; col. 4 lines 6-7), the server (i) will complete set up a conference leg with the second station (at least col. 15 lines 20-22 teaching server connects and sends a correspond to the second station), and (ii) will then send a teardown message to the second station to teardown the conference leg with the second station (col. 15 lines 17-18 teaches de-allocating the second communication channel between server and the second station).

In addition, when the first station initiation a call, the message to conference server is sent with an indication flag of conference session (col. 19, lines 11-12 teaches tearingdown sessions of the first station-the server and the second station-server, then connect first and second for the session) or ringing-only (col. 19, lines 54-56 also teaches tearing down session). Thus, Casaccia includes

- (1) if A wants to initiate a conference session with B,
 - + a session leg between the server and A is established
 - + a session leg between the server and B is also established
 - + a cancellation message of those session legs is indicated by A when first initiated
 - + session legs (server and A, server and B) are torndown before the establishment of media session between A and B.
- (2) if A wants to initiate a ring-only with B (besides just simply hang-up),
 - + a session leg between the server and A is established
 - + a session leg between the server and B is also established
 - + an indication of cancelling these session legs is flagged by A when first initiated
 - + session legs (server and A, server and B) are torndown and no media session between A and B is formed.